# Translation

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or a DB3917_	gent's file reference _ <b>JS</b>	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	ON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.		International filing date (da	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/FR2	2004/000928	15.04.2004		17.04.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC									
Applicant									
SAINT-GOBAIN CENTRE DE RECHERCHES ET D'ETUDES EUROPEEN									
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.									
2. This F	EPORT consists of a total of	6	sheets, including	this cover sheet.					
3. This r	eport is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:							
a. [	sent to the applicant and	l to the International Bureau)	a total of	sheets, as follows:					
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative								
,	Instructions).  sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond								
				in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental					
ь.Г	7	Bureau only) a total of (indic	ate type and number	r of electronic carrier(s))					
"		Dureus only) a total or (male	and type and trained						
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see								
	Section 802 of the Adminis	trative Instructions).							
4. This r	eport contains indications relat	ing to the following items:							
	Box No. I Basis of the	e report							
	Box No. II Priority								
	Box No. III Non-establ	ishment of opinion with regar	rd to novelty, inventi	ive step and industrial applicability					
l ∐	Box No. IV Lack of un	ity of invention							
	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
	Box No. VI Certain do	cuments cited							
	Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international appli	cation						
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submiss	ion of the demand	Date	of completion of thi	s report					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			orized officer						
Facsimile No.		Tele	phone No.						

International application No.

PCT/FR2004/000928

Box	k No. I	Basis of the report						
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the international cated under this item.	application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise					
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:							
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))						
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)						
	Wat	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or	·					
2.	recei	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):						
		the international application as originally filed/furnished						
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	the description:						
		pages 1-6	as originally filed/furnished					
		pages* r	eccived by this Authority on					
		pages* r	received by this Authority on					
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:						
		nos. 1-9	as originally filed/furnished					
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19					
		nos.*	received by this Authority on					
		nos.*	received by this Authority on					
		the drawings:						
		sheets	as originally filed/furnished					
		sheets*	received by this Authority on					
		sheets*	received by this Authority on					
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplement	tal Box Relating to Sequence Listing.					
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	<u> </u>					
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendment they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed	ents annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since a sindicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
*	If iter	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supers	eded."					

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/000928

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Ar citations and explanations sup			rticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-9	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-7	YES
		Claims	8, 9	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-9	YES
		Claims	_	NO
ı				

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
  - In the present report, reference is made to the following documents:
    - D1: DATABASE WPI, Week 199613, Derwent
       Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 127577
       XP002300328 SOKOLOV V A: "Fused and cast
       refractory for glass making furnace lining contains oxide(s) of aluminium, silicon, boron
       and at least one of sodium, potassium, lithium,
       and one of magnesium and calcium, and fluorine
       or chlorine" & RU 2 039 025 C (SOKOLOV V A) 9
       July 1995;
    - D2: POPOV O N: "RATIONAL USE OF REFRACTORIES AND OPTIMUM DESIGNS OF REGENERATOR CHECKERWORK IN GLASS-MELTING FURNACES" GLASS AND CERAMICS, CONSULTANTS BUREAU. NEW YORK, US, vol. 59, no. 9/10, September 2002, pages 335-338, XP001144064 ISSN: 0361 -7610.
  - 2. CLAIMS 1-7
  - 2.1 Document D1 describes (the references between

PCT/FR2004/000928

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

parentheses apply to said document):

a fused cast refractory material having the following oxide composition (expressed as a percentage by weight): 98.3% of  $Al_2O_3$ , 0.5% of  $SiO_2$ , 0.4% of MgO, 0.1% of CaO, 0.1% of  $B_2O_3$  and 0.1% of F (table 1, composition 4). The subject matter of claim 1 differs in that the MgO content is 0.4% < MgO < 2.5% and the  $B_2O_3$  content is  $B_2O_3$  < 0.05%. It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 is novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can therefore be considered to be that of providing an alternative to the refractory material described in document D1. A person skilled in the art seeking an alternative to the material described in D1 would not have been prompted by said document to diverge by 0.05% from the  $B_2O_3$  contents described therein. On the contrary, in view of the narrowness of the  $B_2O_3$  content range described in D1, the fact that the lower limit of said range is close to 0 and the fact that said range limited the scope of the claims in D1, a person skilled in the art would have been discouraged from exploring the range of 0 to 0.1%.

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(1) and 33(3)).

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/000928

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

2.2 Claims 2-7 are dependent on claim 1 and, as such, therefore also fulfil the PCT requirements of novelty and inventive step.

## 3. CLAIMS 8 AND 9

3.1 The present application does not fulfil the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 8 does not involve an inventive step as defined in PCT Article 33(3).

Document D2, which is considered to be the closest prior art, describes a fused cast refractory material (ER 5312 RX, see table 1) that is used as a checker work element in a glass furnace regenerator, from which the one disclosed in claim 8 differs in that the MgO content is 0.4% < MgO < 2.5%.

The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can therefore be considered to be that of providing a fused cast refractory material that has reduced porosity so as to increase resistance to thermal shock.

The solution proposed in claim 8 of the present application is not considered to be inventive (PCT Article 33(3)), for the following reasons:

According to the Derwent abstract for document D1, the refractory material described in paragraph 2

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/000928

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

above offers the same advantages as the one disclosed in the present application. Indeed, it is a result of the reduced MgO content in the material described in D1 that its resistance to thermal shock is enhanced. It follows that it would be a routine step for a person skilled in the art seeking to solve the stated problem to reduce the MgO content in the fused cast refractory material described in document D2.

3.2 Claim 9 does not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any one of the claims to which they refer, fulfil the PCT requirements of novelty and inventive step (PCT Article 33(2) and 33(3)).